EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - ENFORCEMENT MATTER

Page 1 of 2

DOCKET NO.: 2007-1168-AGR-E **TCEQ ID:** RN103769881 **CASE NO.:** 34203 **RESPONDENT NAME:** Comtex Dairies, L.L.C. and Randi Lockwood Willis dba Comtex Dairy

ORDER TYPE:					
X_1660 AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS ORDER FOLLOWING SOAH HEARING			
FINDINGS DEFAULT ORDER	SHUTDOWN ORDER	IMMINENT AND SUBSTANTIAL ENDANGERMENT ORDER			
_AMENDED ORDER	_EMERGENCY ORDER				
CASE TYPE:					
AIR	MULTI-MEDIA (check all that apply)	INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE			
PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY	PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS	_OCCUPATIONAL CERTIFICATION			
X WATER QUALITY	SEWAGE SLUDGE	UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL			
MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE	RADIOACTIVE WASTE	DRY CLEANER REGISTRATION			
SITE WHERE VIOLATION(S) OCCURRED: Comtex Dairy, 500 County Road 102, Comanche County TYPE OF OPERATION: Dairy farm SMALL BUSINESS:X_YesNo OTHER SIGNIFICANT MATTERS: There are no complaints. There is no record of additional pending enforcement actions regarding this facility location. INTERESTED PARTIES: No one other than the ED and the Respondent has expressed an interest in this matter.					
COMMENTS RECEIVED: The Texas Register comment period expired on December 17, 2007. No comments were received.					
CONTACTS AND MAILING LIST: TCEQ Attorney/SEP Coordinator: None TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Mr. Samuel Short, Enforcement Division, Enforcement Team 3, MC 149, (512) 239-5363; Mr. Bryan Sinclair, Enforcement Division, MC 219, (512) 239-2171 Respondent: Ms. Randi Lockwood Willis, Owner, Comtex Dairies, L.L.C. and Randi Lockwood Willis dba Comtex Dairy, 500 County Road 102, Comanche, Texas 76442 Respondent's Attorney: Not represented by counsel on this enforcement matter.					

		in a factor of the second
VIOLATION INFORMATION	PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS	CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (100) 1 TAKEN/REQUIRED
Type of Investigation:	Total Assessed: \$4,290	Corrective Actions Taken:
Complaint X Routine Enforcement Follow-up	Total Deferred: \$858	The Respondents submitted a nutrient utilization plan which was approved on
Records Review	X Expedited Settlement	March 30, 2007.
Date(s) of Complaints Relating to this Case: None	Financial Inability to Pay	Ordering Provisions: The Order will require the Respondents to:
Date of Investigation Relating to this	SEP Conditional Offset: \$0 Total Paid to General Revenue: \$3,432	हाँ के अपने करते हुए हैं जिल्ला कर के हैं है जिल्ला है के किए के किया है जिल्ला है जिल्ला है कि किया है है है
Case: March 14, 2007 Date of NOV/NOE Relating to this Case:	Site Compliance History Classification	1) Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, remove the sludge from RCS No. 1 to restore the designed
May 18, 2007 (NOE)	High X AveragePoor	sludge volume of the structure; and
Background Facts: This was a routine investigation. Two violations were	Person Compliance History Classification High X Average Poor	2) Within 45 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written
documented. WATER	Major Source: Yes _X_ No	certification as described below, and include detailed supporting documentation
1) Failure to remove sludge from the	Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002	including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision No. 1.
retention control structure ("RCS") to prevent the accumulation of sludge from exceeding the designed sludge volume of		with Ordering Provision 100.11.
the structure. Specifically, the investigator documented that the sludge in RCS No. 1		assertion of the section
exceeded the design capacity of 1.21 acrefeet [30 Tex. Admin. Code §		en la companya di series
321.39(c)(1)].2) Failure to cease applying waste or	**	
wastewater to the land management unit ("LMU") when results of the annual soil		
analysis indicate a level of greater than 200 parts per million ("ppm") of extractable		
phosphorus in Zone 1 for a particular LMU unless the application is		
implemented in accordance with a detailed nutrient utilization plan ("NUP").		
Specifically, agricultural wastewater was applied to LMU No. 1 without a NUP after analysis of samples collected in January		
2007 showed 236 ppm extractable phosphorus for Zone 1 [30 Tex. ADMIN.		
CODE § 321.40(k)(2) and TPDES Permit No. TXG920011, Pollution Prevention		

Additional ID No. TXG920011

	Penalty Calculation	n Worksheet (P	CW)	
Policy Revision 2 (Sept	ember 2002)		PCW Revisio	n June 26, 2007
DATES Assigned	18-Jun-2007			
PCW	19-Jul-2007 Screening 17-Jul-2007	EPA Due		***************************************
RESPONDENT/FACILITY				
Respondent Reg. Ent. Ref. No.	Comtex Dairies, L.L.C. and Randi Lockwood RN103769881	d Willis dba Comtex Dairy		
Facility/Site Region		Major/Minor Source	Minor	_
OAGE INCORMATION				
CASE INFORMATION Enf./Case ID No.	34203	No. of Violations		_
	2007-1168-AGR-E	Order Type		\dashv
Media Program(s)	Water Quality	Enf. Coordinator		7
Multi-Media	inoid Ballatina and CO Ballatin		EnforcementTeam 3	
Admin. Penalty \$ L	imit Minimum \$0 Maximum	\$10,000		***************************************
	Penalty Calcula	tion Section		
TOTAL BASE DENAL	TY (Sum of violation base penaltie		Walling of	40.000
TOTAL BASE FENAL	i i (Suili oi violation base penaltie	(S)	Subtotal 1	\$3,000
ADJUSTMENTS (+/-)	TO SUBTOTAL 1			
Subtotals 2-7 are obtain	ned by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by		nan na satan wasan sa _{mu} satan 1960 na mata sa Manan satan	vi i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i
Compliance Histo	A 43% enhancement is recommended for	Enhancement Subter having two NOVs for non-	otals 2, 3, & 7	\$1,290
Notes	similar violations, two Agreed Orders with	denial, and one Notice of		
per en	Intent letter within the last			
Culpability	No 0%	Enhancement	Subtotal 4	\$0
Notes	The Respondent does not meet the		777	
	The Respondent does not meet the	- Culpability Criteria.		
Good Faith Effort	to Comply 0%	Reduction	Subtotal 5	\$0
	Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	Noddollon	Subtotal 3	Ψ0
Extraordinary				
Ordinary N/A	X (mark with x)			
N/A	X (mark with x)		1	
Notes	The Respondent does not meet the	good faith criteria.		
3 75 53 A 110 - 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 1				
		Enhancement*	Subtotal 6	\$0
Approx. (Total EB Amounts \$56 *Capped Cost of Compliance \$2,500	at the Total EB \$ Amount		
ALIN OF ALIPTOTAL		an interest of the second the managers.	The state of the s	
SUM OF SUBTOTALS	5 1-7	F	Final Subtotal	\$4,290
OTHER FACTORS AS	JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE		01,546,056,80	**
Reduces or enhances the Final St	ubtotal by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only	v; e.g30 for -30%.)	Adjustment	\$0
		,		
Notes				
L		First Dec		A4000
		Finai Pei	nalty Amount	\$4,290
STATUTORY LIMIT A	DJUSTMENT	Final Asse	ssed Penalty	\$4,290
DEFEDRAL	and the second s			
DEFERRAL Reduces the Final Assessed Pena	alty by the indicted percentage. (Enter number only; e.g	20% Reduction	Adjustment	-\$858
	, 2, ale maioted percentage. (Enter number only, e.g	. 20101 20% reduction.)		
Notes	Deferral offered for expedited	d settlement.		
PAYARI F PENALTY	The state of the project was at rank which the state of t	egit (militaria de la seconda	stranstvi se tri se	

Screening Date 17-Jul-2007

Docket No. 2007-1168-AGR-E

PCW

Respondent Comtex Dairies, L.L.C. and Randi Lockwood Willis dba Comtex Dairy

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002) PCW Revision June 26, 2007

Case ID No. 34203

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN103769881

Media [Statute] Water Quality

Enf. Coordinator Samuel Short

Compliance

History Notes

iance History Component	Natified Office	nter Number Here	Adjust.
NOVs	Written NOVs with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	0 ,	0%
: -	Other written NOVs	2	4%
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	1 (14) 2 (14) (14)	40%
Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission)	0%
Judgments	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)	0	0%
and Consent Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	1	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
0	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	1	-1%
Audits	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0	0%
<u> </u>	Plea	se Enter Yes or No	
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
045	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
Other	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%
7 12 a	Adjustment F	Percentage (S	Subtotal
at Violator (Sı	ubtotal 3) remachana		
N	o Adjustment F	Percentage (S	Subtotal
oliance Histor	y Person Classification (Subtotal 7)		
Average F	Performer Adjustment I	Percentage (\$	Subtotal

A 43% enhancement is recommended for having two NOVs for non-similar violations, two Agreed Orders

with denial, and one Notice of Intent letter within the last five years.

Total Adjustment Percentage (Subtotals 2, 3, & 7)

Screening Date	2 17-Jul-2007 Docket No. 2007-1168-AGR-E	. PCW
Responden	t Comtex Dairies, L.L.C. and Randi Lockwood Willis dba Comtex Dairy	Revision 2 (September 2002)
Case ID No		CW Revision June 26, 2007
Reg. Ent. Reference No		
Media [Statute		
Enf. Coordinato		
Violation Numbe	r 1 1	ī
Rule Cite(s	30 Tex. Admin. Code § 321.39(c)(1)	***************************************
Violation Description	Failed to remove sludge from the retention control structure ("RCS") to prevent the accumulation of sludge from exceeding the designed sludge volume of the structure. Specifically, the investigator documented that the sludge in RCS No. 1 exceeded the design capacity of 1.21 acre-feet.	
	Base Penalty	\$10,000
N Employment and all Dates and	and Human Hadu Matik	***************************************
>> Environmental, Property	and Human Health Watrix Harm	
Release		
OR Actua		
Potentia	Percent 10%	
>>Programmatic Matrix		
Falsification		
	Percent 0%	
3-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00	alth or the environment will or could be exposed to significant amounts of pollutants that I not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors.	
	Adjustment \$9,000	1
	Aujustilient ws.,000	<u>.</u>
50000000000		\$1,000
Violation Events		
Number of V	iolation Events 2 125 Number of violation days	
mark only one with an x	daily monthly	\$2,000
Two quarter	ly events are recommended from the March 14, 2007 investigation until the July 17, 2007 screening date.	
Economic Benefit (EB) for t	nis violation Statutory Limit Test	
	ed EB Amount \$52 Violation Final Penalty Total	\$2,860
***************************************	This violation Final Assessed Banathy (adjusted for the the	\$2.060
	This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits)	\$2,860

		conomic l	Benefit W	orks	heet	atical graduals	
Respondent	Comtex Dairies	, L.L.C. and Randi	Lockwood Willis d	lba Com	tex Dairy		
Case ID No.	34203				2000 m 2		
Reg. Ent. Reference No.	RN103769881				A SECTION OF THE SECT		
	Water Quality				the state of the section	B	Years of
Violation No.					since of a charm	Percent Interest	Depreciation
T John Committee					l to	5.0	1
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$					(0)0	
Delayed Costs							
Delayed Costs Equipment		1 1		1 0.0	so	\$0	\$0
Equipment Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction	- i e tais at an anna ann an an an			0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System		1 4 7 7 7 70 7	AND THE PARTY OF THE	0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal	\$1,000	14-Mar-2007	31-Mar-2008	1.0	\$52	n/a	\$52
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	n/a	•••
	Estimated cost	to remove sludge	from the RCS to n	naintain i	the designed sludg	ge volume of the str	\$0 ucture. The dat
Notes for DELAYED costs	re	equired is the inves	tigation date and t	naintain he finai	the designed slude date is the expecte	ge volume of the strued date of compliance	ucture. The dát ce.
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs	re	equired is the inves	tigation date and t	naintain he final entering	the designed slude date is the expected litem (except for	ge volume of the streed date of compliand	ucture. The dat ce. costs)
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal	re	equired is the inves	tigation date and t	naintain the final entering	the designed sludd date is the expecte ltem (except for	ge volume of the streed date of compliance one-time avoided c	ucture. The dat ce. costs)
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	re	equired is the inves	tigation date and t	naintain the final entering	the designed sludgdate is the expected litem (except for \$0 \$0	ge volume of the streed date of compliance one-time avoided of \$0 \$0	ucture. The dat ce. costs) \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs AVOIDED COSTS Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling	re	equired is the inves	tigation date and t	naintain the final entering 0.0 0.0	the designed sludded is the expected litem (except for \$0 \$0 \$0	ge volume of the streed date of compliant one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0	ucture. The dat ce. costs) \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs AVOIDED COSTS DISPOSAL Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment	re	equired is the inves	tigation date and t	naintain the final ontering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	the designed sludg date is the expector ltem (except for \$0 \$0 \$0	ge volume of the streed date of compliance one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ucture. The dat ce. sosts) \$0 \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs AVOIDED COSTS DIsposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	re	equired is the inves	tigation date and t	naintain the final ontering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	the designed sludded is the expected litem (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ge volume of the streed date of compliant one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0	ucture. The dat ce. costs) \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	re	equired is the inves	tigation date and t	naintain the final ontering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	the designed sludg date is the expector ltem (except for \$0 \$0 \$0	ge volume of the streed date of compliance one-time avoided c \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ucture. The dat ce. costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs AVOIDED COSTS DIsposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	re	equired is the inves	tigation date and t	naintain the final one on tering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	the designed sludg date is the expected ltem (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ge volume of the streed date of compliance one-time avoided c \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ucture. The dat ce. sosts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)	re	equired is the inves	tigation date and t	naintain the final one on tering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	the designed sludg date is the expected ltem (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ge volume of the streed date of compliance one-time avoided c \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ucture. The dat ce. sosts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	re	equired is the inves	tigation date and t	naintain the final one on tering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	the designed sludg date is the expected ltem (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ge volume of the streed date of compliance one-time avoided c \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ucture. The dat ce. sosts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)	re	equired is the inves	tigation date and t	naintain the final one on tering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	the designed sludg date is the expected ltem (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ge volume of the streed date of compliance one-time avoided c \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ucture. The dat ce. sosts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)	re	equired is the inves	tigation date and t	naintain the final one on tering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	the designed sludg date is the expected ltem (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ge volume of the streed date of compliance one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ucture. The dat ce. sosts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

The second second

7. 1

Screening Date 17-Jul-2007 Docket No. 2007-1168-AGR-E	PCW
Respondent Comtex Dairies, L.L.C. and Randi Lockwood Willis dba Comtex Dairy	cy Revision 2 (September 2002)
Case ID No. 34203	PCW Revision June 26, 2007
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN103769881	
Media [Statute] Water Quality	
Enf. Coordinator Samuel Short	
Violation Number 2	
Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 321.40(k)(2) and TPDES Permit No. TXG920011, Pollution	
Prevention Plan Requirements	_
Failed to cease applying waste or wastewater to the land management unit ("LMU") when results of the annual soil analysis indicate a level of greater than 200 parts pe million ("ppm") of extractable phosphorus in Zone 1 for a particular LMU unless the application is implemented in accordance with a detailed nutrient utilization plan ("NUF Specifically, agricultural wastewater was applied to LMU No. 1 without a NUP after analysis of samples collected in January 2007 showed 236 ppm extractable phosphor for Zone 1.	r").
Base Pena	lty \$10,000
>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix	***************************************
Harm	***************************************
Release Major Moderate Minor OR Actual x	***************************************
Potential X Percent 10%	***************************************
>>Programmatic Matrix	***************************************
Falsification Major Moderate Minor	***************************************
Percent 0%	
Matrix Notes Human health or the environment has been exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants that do n exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors.	ot
Adjustment \$9,0	001
	<u> </u>
	\$1,000
Violation Events	

Number of Violation Events 1 17 Number of violation days	
daily	
monthly	
mark only one quarterly x Violation Base Pena	Ity \$1,000
with an x semiannual semiannual	
annual	
single event	
	_
One quarterly event is recommended from the March 14, 2007 investigation until the March 30, 200 date the NUP was approved.	7
Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test	
Estimated EB Amount \$3 Violation Final Penalty To	tal \$1,430
-	
This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limi	ts) \$1,430

Respondent Case ID No.	Comtex Dairies	CONOMIC E s, L.L.C. and Randi I					
Reg. Ent. Reference No. Media Violation No.	Water Quality					Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
						5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$						
Delayed Costs							
Equipment	1915 B. S. W. S. C.	Francisco de la contraction de		0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings			11	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)	NEW COLUMN		a kalarynay ika gila	0.0	\$0	\$0ing	ni ; \$0 /
Engineering/construction			Albert Albert	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling	A Annual Control of	1 . 473	W 33.74	0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs	\$1,500	14-Mar-2007	30-Mar-2007	0.0	\$3	n/a	\$3
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs			the date th	e NUP w	vas approved.	estigation date and one-time avoided o	i
- 5 - C000 Lot C 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2	700	10ALIZE [i] avoide	u costs perore c	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Disposal Personnel		 		0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling		1		0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment		 	- vane -	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0,0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)	1.		- time	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Outer (as necessary		<u> </u>		4			
Notes for AVOIDED costs			n Mounty a .		Service Control of the Control of th	ear a shfahar	
\$						- 103 · 103	<u> </u>
Approx. Cost of Compliance		\$1,500			TOTAL		\$3

Compliance History Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator: CN602938185 Comtex Dairies, L.L.C. Classification: AVERAGE Regulated Entity: RN103769881 COMTEX DAIRY Classification: AVERAGE ID Number(s): WASTEWATER AGRICULTURE PERMIT Location: 500 COUNTY ROAD 102, COMANCHE COUNTY, TX Rating Date: 9/1/2006 Repeat Violator: NO TCEQ Region: REGION 03 - ABILENE Date Compliance History Prepared: June 18, 2007 Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History: Enforcement Compliance Period: June 18, 2002 to June 18, 2007 TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History Name: Samuel Short Phone: (512) 239-5363 **Site Compliance History Components** 1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period? Nο 2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership of the site during the compliance period? 3. If Yes, who is the current owner? Comtex Dairies, L.L.C. 4. if Yes, who was/were the prior owner(s)? Ronald West 5. When did the change(s) in ownership occur? N/A 08/19/2004 Components (Multimedia) for the Site: Final Enforcement Orders, court judgements, and consent decrees of the state of Texas and the federal government. Effective Date: 11/22/2002 ADMINORDER 2001-1070-AGR-E Classification: Moderate 2C TWC Chapter 20, SubChapter A 26.121(a)(1) Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) 30 TAC Chapter 321, SubChapter B 321.31(a) Rgmt Prov: V PERMIT Description: Failure to prevent an unauthorized discharge of wastewater from a retention pond. Effective Date: 05/28/2006 ADMINORDER 2005-1815-AGR-E Classification: Major Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 321, SubChapter B 321.31(a) 30 TAC Chapter 321, SubChapter B 321.39(b)(3) Description: Failure to contain and to prevent agricultural wastewater from entering waters of the state. B. Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government. C. Chronic excessive emissions events. D. The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.) 1 08/22/2002 (6010) 2 01/28/2003 (284113) 3 07/18/2003 (144459) 4 08/05/2004 (284584)5 04/07/2005 (375101)6 07/13/2005 (339175)7 05/03/2006 (460157) 8 05/31/2007 (543993)E. Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.) Date 05/27/2004 (284584)Self Report? Classification: Minor Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 321, SubChapter B 321.42(j) Description: SOIL SAMPLE RESULTS WERE NOT SUBMITTED WITHIN THE 60 DAY TIME

Rating: 19.00

TXG920011

Site Rating: 19.00

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 321, SubChapter B 321.39(g)(2) Description: Failure to maintain crops, vegetation, forage growth, or post harvest residue in the normal growing season for animals in pastures, TXG920000 Part III.B(5) Self Report? Classification

Classification

Date 05/05/2006

Self Report?

(460157)

Citation:

Citation:

Description:

30 TAC Chapter 321, SubChapter B 321.43(j)(5)(B)

Description:

Failure of earthen pens to be maintained to ensure good drainage, minimize ponding, and minimize the entrance of uncontaminated storm water to the RCS. TXG920000

Part III.A.10(b)

Self Report?

NO

30 TAC Chapter 321, SubChapter B 321.40(e)

Failure of Irrigation practices to minimize ponding or puddling of wastewater on the site, prevent tailwater discharges to waters in the state and prevent the occurrence of

nuisance conditions. TXG920000 Part III.A.11(d)(1)

Self Report?

30 TAC Chapter 321, SubChapter B 321.47(d)(7)

Citation: Rqmt Prov:

OP TXG920011/Part III.A.15(a)(1)

Description:

Failure of the permittee to conduct repairs of the control facility and land application equipment and preventative maintenance noted in visual inspections and equipment

testing. TXG920000 Part III.A.15(a)(1

Environmental audits. F.

Notice of Intent Date:

(33097)

No DOV Associated

G.

Н.

Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program.

Early compliance.

Sites Outside of Texas

N/A

Classification

Moderate

Classification

10/24/2002

Type of environmental management systems (EMSs).

Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates.

N/A

Compliance History

Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator: CN600862551 WILLIS, RANDI LOCKWOOD Classification: AVERAGE Rating: 19.00 Regulated Entity: RN103769881 COMTEX DAIRY Classification: AVERAGE Site Rating: 19.00 ID Number(s): WASTEWATER AGRICULTURE TXG920011 Location: 500 COUNTY ROAD 102, COMANCHE COUNTY, TX Rating Date: September 01 06 Repeat Violator: NO

TCEQ Region: REGION 03 - ABILENE

Date Compliance History Prepared: June 18, 2007 Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History: Enforcement

Compliance Period: June 18, 2002 to June 18, 2007

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History

Samuel Short Name: Phone: (512) 239-5363

Site Compliance History Components

1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period? No 2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership of the site during the compliance period? Yes

Comtex Dairies, L.L.C. 3. If Yes, who is the current owner?

Ronald West 4. if Yes, who was/were the prior owner(s)?

5. When did the change(s) in ownership occur? N/A 08/19/2004

Components (Multimedia) for the Site:

Final Enforcement Orders, court judgements, and consent decrees of the state of Texas and the federal government.

Effective Date: 11/22/2002 ADMINORDER 2001-1070-AGR-E

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 2C TWC Chapter 20, SubChapter A 26.121(a)(1)

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

30 TAC Chapter 321, SubChapter B 321.31(a)

Description: Failure to prevent an unauthorized discharge of wastewater from a retention pond.

ADMINORDER 2005-1815-AGR-E Effective Date: 05/28/2006

Classification: Major

30 TAC Chapter 321, SubChapter B 321.31(a)

30 TAC Chapter 321, SubChapter B 321.39(b)(3)

Description: Failure to contain and to prevent agricultural wastewater from entering waters of the state.

В. Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government.

C Chronic excessive emissions events

D. The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)

> 1 08/22/2002 (6010)2 01/28/2003 (284113)3 07/18/2003 (144459) 4 08/05/2004 (284584)5 04/07/2005 (375101)6 07/13/2005 (339175)7 05/03/2006 (460157) 8 05/31/2007 (543993)

Ε. Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)

> Date 05/27/2004 (284584)

Self Report? Classification: Minor

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 321, SubChapter B 321.42(j)

SOIL SAMPLE RESULTS WERE NOT SUBMITTED WITHIN THE 60 DAY TIME Description: PERIOD.

05/05/2006 (460157)

Self Report? Classification Moderate Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 321, SubChapter B 321.39(g)(2)

Description: Failure to maintain crops, vegetation, forage growth, or post harvest residue in the normal growing season for animals in pastures, TXG920000 Part III.B(5)

Self Report? Classification

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 321, SubChapter B 321.43(j)(5)(B)

Description:

Failure of earthen pens to be maintained to ensure good drainage, minimize ponding, and minimize the entrance of uncontaminated storm water to the RCS. TXG920000

Part III.A.10(b)

Self Report?

NO

30 TAC Chapter 321, SubChapter B 321.40(e)

Citation: Description:

Failure of Irrigation practices to minimize ponding or puddling of wastewater on the site,

prevent tailwater discharges to waters in the state and prevent the occurrence of

Classification

Classification

Moderate

nulsance conditions. TXG920000 Part III.A.11(d)(1)

Self Report? NO

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 321, SubChapter B 321.47(d)(7)

Rqmt Prov:

OP TXG920011/Part III.A.15(a)(1)

Description:

Failure of the permittee to conduct repairs of the control facility and land application equipment and preventative maintenance noted in visual inspections and equipment

testing. TXG920000 Part III.A.15(a)(1

Environmental audits.

Notice of Intent Date:

10/24/2002

(33097)

No DOV Associated

Type of environmental management systems (EMSs). G

Н. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates.

Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program.

Early compliance. J.

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas

N/A

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN	Ş	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
COMTEX DAIRIES, L.L.C. AND	§	
RANDI LOCKWOOD WILLIS DBA	§	
COMTEX DAIRY	§	
RN103769881	§ .	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2007-1168-AGR-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

At its ______ agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding Comtex Dairies, L.L.C. and Randi Lockwood Willis dba Comtex Dairy ("Comtex Dairies and Ms. Willis") under the authority of TEX. WATER CODE chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and Comtex Dairies and Ms. Willis appear before the Commission and together stipulate that:

- 1. Comtex Dairies and Ms. Willis own and operate a dairy farm at 500 County Road 102 in Comanche County, Texas (the "Facility").
- 2. Comtex Dairies and Ms. Willis have caused, suffered, allowed or permitted the discharge of any waste or the performance of any activity in violation of Tex. WATER CODE ch. 26 or any rule, permit, or order of the Commission.
- 3. The Commission and Comtex Dairies and Ms. Willis agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that Comtex Dairies and Ms. Willis are subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. Comtex Dairies and Ms. Willis received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about May 23, 2007.
- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by Comtex Dairies and Ms. Willis of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.

	•		

- 6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Four Thousand Two Hundred Ninety Dollars (\$4,290) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). Comtex Dairies and Ms. Willis have paid Three Thousand Four Hundred Thirty-Two Dollars (\$3,432) of the administrative penalty and Eight Hundred Fifty-Eight Dollars (\$858) is deferred contingent upon Comtex Dairies' and Ms. Willis' timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. The deferred amount will be waived upon full compliance with the terms of this Agreed Order. If Comtex Dairies and Ms. Willis fail to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may require Comtex Dairies and Ms. Willis to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.
- 7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director of the TCEQ and Comtex Dairies and Ms. Willis have agreed on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to the approval of the Commission.
- 9. The Executive Director recognizes that Comtex Dairies and Ms. Willis submitted a nutrient utilization plan ("NUP") which was approved on March 30, 2007.
- 10. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that Comtex Dairies and Ms. Willis have not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 11. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 12. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

As owners and operators of the Facility, Comtex Dairies and Ms. Willis are alleged to have:

- 1. Failed to remove sludge from the retention control structure ("RCS") to prevent the accumulation of sludge from exceeding the designed sludge volume of the structure, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 321.39(c)(1), as documented during an investigation conducted on March 14, 2007. Specifically, the investigator documented that the sludge in RCS No. 1 exceeded the design capacity of 1.21 acre-feet.
- 2. Failed to cease applying waste or wastewater to the land management unit ("LMU") when results of the annual soil analysis indicate a level of greater than 200 parts per million ("ppm") of extractable phosphorus in Zone 1 for a particular LMU unless the application is implemented in accordance with a detailed NUP, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 321.40(k)(2) and Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("TPDES") Permit No. TXG920011, Pollution

 $g_{\mu\nu}=0$. The second of the R and R is the R and R is the R

en de la companya de Esta de la companya de la companya

Comtex Dairies, L.L.C. and Randi Lockwood Willis dba Comtex Dairy DOCKET NO. 2007-1168-AGR-E Page 3

Prevention Plan Requirements, as documented during an investigation conducted on March 14, 2007. Specifically, agricultural wastewater was applied to LMU No. 1 without a NUP after analysis of samples collected in January 2007 showed 236 ppm extractable phosphorus for Zone 1.

III. DENIALS

Comtex Dairies and Ms. Willis generally deny each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that Comtex Dairies and Ms. Willis pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and Comtex Dairies' and Ms. Willis' compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations, which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Comtex Dairies, L.L.C. and Randi Lockwood Willis dba Comtex Dairy, Docket No. 2007-1168-AGR-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. Comtex Dairies and Ms. Willis are jointly and severally liable for the violations documented in this Agreed Order, and are jointly and severally liable for timely and satisfactory compliance with all terms and conditions of this Agreed Order.
- 3. It is further ordered that Comtex Dairies and Ms. Willis shall undertake the following technical requirements:
 - a. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, remove the sludge from RCS No. 1 to restore the designed sludge volume of the structure; and
 - b. Within 45 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification as described below, and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision No. 3.a. The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant

The state of the s

A supplied of the control of the

en de la companya de la co

and the second of the second o

en de la composition La composition de la La composition de la penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team
Enforcement Division, MC 149A
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Water Section, Manager Dallas/Fort Worth Regional Office Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 2301 Gravel Drive Fort Worth, Texas 76118-6951

- 4. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon Comtex Dairies and Ms. Willis. Comtex Dairies and Ms. Willis are ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 5. If Comtex Dairies and Ms. Willis fail to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, Comtex Dairies' and Ms. Willis' failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. Comtex Dairies and Ms. Willis shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. Comtex Dairies and Ms. Willis shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after Comtex Dairies and Ms. Willis become aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- 6. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by Comtex Dairies and Ms. Willis shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until Comtex Dairies and Ms. Willis receive written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
- 7. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against Comtex Dairies and Ms. Willis in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- 8. This agreement may be executed in multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single original instrument. Any executed signature page to this Agreement may be transmitted by

and the second of the second o

the state of the s

and the second second

en de la composition La composition de la La composition de la

en de la composition de la

Comtex Dairies, L.L.C. and Randi Lockwood Willis dba Comtex Dairy DOCKET NO. 2007-1168-AGR-E Page 5

facsimile transmission to the other parties, which shall constitute an original signature for all purposes.

9. Under 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to Comtex Dairies and Ms. Willis, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to Comtex Dairies and Ms. Willis, whichever is earlier. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties.

Comtex Dairies, L.L.C. and Randi Lockwood Willis dba Comtex Dairy DOCKET NO. 2007-1168-AGR-E Page 6

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

Name (Printed or typed)

Authorized Representative of Comtex Dairies, L.L.C.

For the Commission
For the Executive Director 12/7/07 10/9/07 Date
I, the undersigned, have read and understand the attached Agreed Order. I am authorized to agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of the entity indicated below my signature, and I do agree to the terms and conditions specified therein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in accepting payment for the penalty amount, is materially relying on such representation.
 I also understand that failure to comply with the Ordering Provisions, if any, in this order and/or failure to timely pay the penalty amount, may result in: A negative impact on compliance history; Greater scrutiny of any permit applications submitted; Referral of this case to the Attorney General's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, additional penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a collection agency; Increased penalties in any future enforcement actions; Automatic referral to the Attorney General's Office of any future enforcement actions; and
• TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by law. In addition, any falsification of any compliance documents may result in criminal prosecution.
Signature Date RANDI' W. WILLIES WENGER

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.

Title

 $\frac{d}{dt} = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right) + \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{$

en de la composition La composition de la

entre formation of the comment of th

en de la composition La composition de la

The state of the s

and the state of the second of the second state of the second second second second second second second second

and the state of the The state of the state

general de la companya del companya della companya

n transport of the second of t

and the control of t The control of Comtex Dairies, L.L.C. and Randi Lockwood Willis dba Comtex Dairy DOCKET NO. 2007-1168-AGR-E Page 7

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission

Name (Printed or typed)
Authorized Representative of

Randi Lockwood Willis dba Comtex Dairy

glating and the property of th

For the Executive Director	12/7/07 Date Date
I, the undersigned, have read and understand the attached attached Agreed Order on behalf of the entity indicated and conditions specified therein. I further acknowledge penalty amount, is materially relying on such representations.	below my signature, and I do agree to the terms e that the TCEQ, in accepting payment for the
 I also understand that failure to comply with the Ordering timely pay the penalty amount, may result in: A negative impact on compliance history; Greater scrutiny of any permit applications submit applications submit to the Attorney General's of penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a collection at a linereased penalties in any future enforcement act to Automatic referral to the Attorney General's Office. TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by law. In addition, any falsification of any compliance document. 	itted; Office for contempt, injunctive relief, additional agency; ions; ice of any future enforcement actions; and
Janale X. Velles Signature	10/9/2007 Date

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.

क्षणार केरण के हैं के का कार कर का निर्माण के के अर्थात कांग्ने के स्वाप्त के किया के किया के किया के किया के

n ang malan menganggan di Artin ang kalanggan penganggan penganggan penganggan penganggan penganggan pengangga Penganggan penganggan

figure in the company of the first section of the f

THE NAME OF THE PARTICULAR PROPERTY OF THE

en de la composition La composition de la

- in or maken a players a maken relative account.
- first reducing a life of the code you to your recovered.
- Robertation of selection the Atlantagy Elegeralis (State) for instruction or in the last, as a case, partitional and for atlantage (logic or an algorithm agency).
 - become the manufaction of the continuous of the continuous section is the continuous section of the continuous sections and the continuous sections are continuous sections.
 - The particle of the colling and it which could be flagged animality of the familia of indications.

cas an exception across of the exception of a promotive production of the first constraints of

in the second of the second of

i de la company de la company

nana i sija ni salah iki dalam mentahan mengupyakapan di kamilaya pengunyi telah kilipidi dana kaka kakakakta Kalabapat manabatan mengunya mahaban kelabapat mengunya mengunya pengunya pengunya mengunya mengunya mengunya